Case 2:22-cv-00964-DWC Document 20 Filed 09/23/22 Page 1 of 3

Honorable David W. Christel 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 9 10 STUART REGES, 11 Plaintiff, 12 v. 13 ANA MARI CAUCE, in her official capacity as President of the University of Washington; Case No. 2:22-cv-00964 14 MAGDALENA BALAZINŠKA, in her official and individual capacities as Director DEFENDANTS' UNOPPOSED MOTION 15 of the Paul G. Allen School of Computer FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO Science & Engineering; DAN GROSSMAN, RESPOND TO COMPLAINT 16 in his official and individual capacities as Vice Director of the Paul G. Allen School of Noted for Hearing: September 23, 2022 17 Computer Science & Engineering; and NANCY ALLBRITTON, in her official and 18 individual capacities as Dean of the College of Engineering, 19 Defendants. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION 701 5th Avenue, Suite 5600

OF TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT Case No. 2:22-cv-00964

Seattle, Washington 98104-7097 tel+1-206-839-4300

Defendants request a 30-day extension of time to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Complaint in this action, extending the deadline from September 30, 2022, to October 31, 2022. Plaintiff does not oppose this extension.

Plaintiff filed the Complaint (Dkt. No. 1) on July 13, 2022, asserting claims that Defendants, in their varying individual and official capacities, violated his First Amendment rights. Defendants waived service on August 1, 2022 (Dkt. Nos. 8–11), setting the deadline to respond to the Complaint under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(d)(3) as September 30, 2022. Through this motion, Defendants, for the first time, seek a 30-day extension of that deadline.

Defendants have good cause for this request. Not only does the Complaint implicate multiple defendants, but those parties have been sued in their individual and official capacities based on their roles at the University of Washington. An extension is warranted to allow Defendants additional time to consult with their counsel and ensure that their response appropriately accounts for the interests of the University. The University, moreover, is currently busily involved with back-to-school functions, welcoming students on campus, beginning classes, and otherwise kicking off the school year, leaving little time for communications with counsel. Finally, no party will be prejudiced by a 30-day extension. Plaintiff is also a University employee currently managing start-of-semester duties and does not oppose this request. And the Court has not yet issued an initial scheduling order or trial date, so granting this request will not affect any schedule already set by the Court.

Defendants thus ask the Court to extend the time to respond to the Complaint by 30 days, to October 31, 2022.

22

23

25 26

27

28

Case 2:22-cv-00964-DWC Document 20 Filed 09/23/22 Page 3 of 3

1	
2	DATED this 23 rd day of September, 2022.
3	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
4	By: s/Robert M. McKenna
5	Robert M. McKenna (WSBA# 18327) Aaron Brecher (WSBA# 47212)
6	701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5600 Seattle, WA 98104
7	Telephone (206) 839-4300 Fax (206) 839-4301
8	rmckenna@orrick.com abrecher@orrick.com
9	
10	R. David Hosp (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Kristina McKenna (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
11	222 Berkeley Street, Suite 2000 Boston, MA 02116
12	Telephone (617) 880-1802 Fax (617) 880-1801
13	dhosp@orrick.com kmckenna@orrick.com
14	
15	Attorneys for Defendants Ana Mari Cauce, Magdalena Balazinksa, Dan Grossman, and Nancy Allbritton
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION 2 Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe LLF OF TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT 701 5th Avenue, Suite 5600 Seattle, Washington 98104-7097